Case 3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 29 Filed 06/08/2007

Page 1 of 11

		<i>l</i>	
1 2	HASSARD BONNINGTON LLP B. THOMAS FRENCH, ESQ., State Bar No. 65848 ROBERT L. NELDER, ESQ., State Bar No. 125426		
3	Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800 San Francisco, California 94111-3941		
4	Telephone: (415) 288-9800 Fax: (415) 288-9802		
5	btf@hassard.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendant JOHN CRANE INC.		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
10			
11	EVERETT HOGGE and	No. CGC-06-452846	
12	PRISCILLA HOGGE,	NOTICE TO STATE COURT AND TO	
13	Plaintiffs,	ALL PARTIES OF REMOVAL TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	VS.	OMITED OF ATEC BIOTRIOT COOK	
15	A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
'' 18			
	TO THE CLEDK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COLIDT. AND TO ALL DARTIES AND		
19	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT, AND TO ALL PARTIES AND		
20	THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:		
21	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 1, 2007, defendant John Crane		
22	Inc. filed, in the United States District Court of the Northern District of California, a		

Inc. filed, in the United States District Court of the Northern District of California, a Notice of Removal of the above-entitled action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1442(a)(1). A true and correct copy of the Notice of Removal is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), the filing of the Notice of Removal in the United States District Court, together with the filing of this Notice with this Court, effects the removal of this action. -1111

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFFS, ALL PARTIES, AND STATE COURT OF THE REMOVAL TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Filed 06/08/2007

Page 3 of 11

Case 3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 29

EXHIBIT 1

diversity of citizenship, based on the following facts:

On or about June 2, 2006, plaintiffs Everett Hogge and Priscilla 1.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

27

28

6

11

28

Hogge ("plaintiffs") commenced an action against Defendant by filing their complaint with the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco. True and correct copies of the summons and the complaint ("Complaint"), together with Paul & Hanley, LLP's Master Complaint – Asbestos, which was filed on September 7, 2000, are attached to the accompanying declaration of B. Thomas French as Exhibit 1.

- 2. It is undisputed that plaintiffs Everett Hogge and Priscilla Hogge are citizens of the State of Virginia. Plaintiff states in response to interrogatories served in this case that they have lived in Glouster, Virginia from 1988 to the present. (Please see Exhibit 2 attached to the accompanying declaration of Robert Hamblett, responses to Interrogatory Nos. 1 and 5.)
- 3. At the time the complaint was filed, it could not be removed for multiple reasons including that one or more California defendants were named and sued in the Complaint.
- One of the defendants named in the complaint is Hill Brothers Chemical Company. Hill Brothers Chemical Company is a California corporation with its principal place of business in California. Attached as Exhibit 3 to the accompanying declaration of B. Thomas French is a true and correct copy of a printout from the California Secretary of State's website (www.ss.ca.gov/corpdata) identifying Hill Brothers Chemical Company as a California corporation with its principal place of business at 1675 N. Main St., Orange, California.
- 5. As of May 11, 2007, six defendants remained in the Hogge matter, one of which was Hill Brothers Chemical Company. Attached as Exhibit 4 to the accompanying declaration of B. Thomas French is a true and correct copy of the Court's May 14, 2007 Minute Order reflecting the parties as of May 11, 2007.
- 6. As of May 15, 2007, after additional settlements, only two defendants remained: John Crane Inc. and Hopeman Brothers, Inc. Attached as Exhibit 5 to the accompanying declaration of B. Thomas French is a true and correct copy of the Court's May 15, 2007 Minute Order reflecting the parties as of that date.

Hill Brothers Chemical Company was, as of May 15, 2007, no longer a defendant in the action.

- 7. Hopeman Brothers, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business is in North Carolina. Attached as Exhibit 6 to the accompanying declaration of B. Thomas French is a true and correct copy of a printout from the Hopeman Brothers, Inc., as a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 155 Sunnynoll Ct., Suite 300, Winston Salem, North Carolina.
- 8. Defendant John Crane Inc. is now the only remaining defendant in this lawsuit. John Crane Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois. Attached as Exhibit 7 to the accompanying declaration of B. Thomas French is a true and correct copy of a printout from the California Secretary of State's website (www.ss.ca.gov/corpdata) identifying John Crane Inc. as a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business in Morton Grove, Illinois.
- 9. Defendant timely files this notice of removal on or about June 1, 2007, less than 30 days after receiving written notice of removability. 28 U.S.C. §1446(b).
- 10. The amount in controversy in this lawsuit exceeds \$75,000. Plaintiffs have recently filed formal demands in State Court against defendant John Crane for amounts well in excess of the jurisdictional requirement. Attached as Exhibit 8 to the accompanying declaration of B. Thomas French is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' C.C.P. §998 Offer to Compromise to Defendant John Crane Inc., which shows the amounts of plaintiffs' demands at page 3.
- 11. Attached as Exhibit 9 to the accompanying declaration of B. Thomas French is a true and correct copy of an economic damages report created by plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Barry Ben-Zion. Dr. Ben-Zion calculated plaintiffs' total economic damages to be \$2,161,850.
- 12. Because this Court is the United States District Court for the district and division embracing the place where the original State Court Complaint

was filed, it is the appropriate court for removal under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a).

- 13. Attached as Exhibit 10 to the accompanying declaration of B. Thomas French is a copy of the Register of Actions in the state court proceeding. As appears from Exhibit 10, the state court file is voluminous. To the extent that this Court requires copies of records and proceedings in the state court proceeding, Defendant respectfully requests this Court to issue a writ of certiorari to obtain these voluminous documents from the San Francisco Superior Court pursuant to U.S.C. §1447(b).
- 14. John Crane Inc. also intends to seek transfer of this action to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, where all Federal Court asbestos actions have been centralized in a single forum, i.e., *In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation* (Multi District Litigation Docket No. 875), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. Accordingly, Defendant is concurrently filing a Notice of Pendency of Other Action regarding MDL 875.

WHEREFORE, defendant John Crane Inc. respectfully removes the above-described state court action to this Court and requests that all further proceedings in this action be conducted before this Court as provided by law.

Dated: June (_, 2007

HASSARD BONNINGTON LLP

By: B. Tham Thurs B. Thomas French

Attorneys for Defendant JOHN CRANE INC.

PROOF OF SERVICE

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Everett Hogge, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Company, et al. San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-06-452846

- 1. At the time of service I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
- 2. I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. My business address is Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800, San Francisco, CA 94111.
- 3. On June 1, 2007, I served the following documents:

NOTICE TO STATE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES OF REMOVAL TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

4. I served the documents on the **persons** below as follows:

Dean A. Hanley, Esq. Philip A. Harley, Esq. Robert L. Barrow, Esq. PAUL, HANLEY & HARLEY LLP 1608 Fourth Street, Suite 300 Berkeley, CA 94710

Telephone: (510) 559-9980 FAX: (510) 559-9970

- 5. The documents were served by the following means (specify):
 - By United States mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses in item 4 and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at San Francisco, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 1, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	CASE NAME: Everett Hogge, et al. v. A. W. Chesterton Company, et al.		
3	COURT: San Francisco County Superior Court		
4	CASE NUMBER: GCG-06-452846		
5	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to the within action. I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California, and my business address is Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800, San Francisco, California 94111-3993. On the date last written below, following ordinary business practice, I electronically served the following document(s):		
6			
7			
8	NOTICE TO STATE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES OF REMOVAL TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	via LexisNexis File & Serve on the recipients below and designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the LexisNexis File & Serve website:		
11			
12	Dean A. Hanley, Esq. Philip A. Harley, Esq. Robert L. Barrow, Esq.		
13	PAUL, HANLEY & HARLEY LLP 1608 Fourth Street, Suite 300 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 559-9980		
14			
15	FAX: (510) 559-9970		
16 17	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Proof of Service was executed on June 1, 2007, at San Francisco, California.		
'' 18			
19	Mich ele Smith		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Michele Smith | Switch Client | Preferences | History | Sigr Total Litigator Gather Intelligence Draft, File Alerts & Tracks Search by Early Case Assessment Trial Home Discovery Research Prep Source Hassard Bonnington LLP | Resource Center | File & Serve Preference Home Filing & Service Alerts Search Service of Process Case History | Cases Search | Daily Docket | Transaction Status | Advanced Search | Home > Transaction 15087064 Printable Version << Previous transaction Next transaction Case number: 452846 [View Case History] Filed and served at 6/1/2007 3:26 PM P Case name: Hogge, Everett C vs A W Chesterton Co (Paul Hanley) Court: CA Superior Court County of San Francisco Judge: Judge, Asbestos • View all document(s) as a list C View document(s) inline □ Document List (1) **Total Statutory Fees: \$0.00** Main Document, 9 pages ID: 17724674 Document History | PDF Format | Original Format Clerk review status/action: Accepted Document type: Notice (Other) Date reviewed: 6/7/2007 Security: Public Statutory fee: \$0.00 NOTICE TO STATE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES OF REMOVAL TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT **Document title:** COURT □ Other Transaction Data **Transaction Comment: Financial Comment:** None None Client matter code 1850-1251 Sending Parties and Recipients ☐ Sending Parties (1) **Attorney Type** Firm Party Party Type <u>Attorney</u> Hassard Bonnington LLP John Crane Inc Defendant Nelder, Robert Attorney in Charge ☐ Recipients (1) Delivered Method Type <u> Party</u> Party Type Attorney E-Service Servic Paul Hanley & Harley LLP-Berkeley 6/1/2007 3:26 PM PDT Hogge, Everett C Plaintiff Robert Barrow ☐ Additional Recipients (0) Delivered Document/Notice Method Name

none available